Title: The Theory of Online Markets - Principle 3: Two-Sided Markets Require Two-Sided Trust

Subtitle: A Structural Principle of Market Equilibrium

Short Name: The Two-Sided Trust Principle

Optional Note: Also known as Aurora's Principle

Author: Sarah Marie Springsteen Trumble

Founder, The Job Applicant Perspective

Abstract:

This paper introduces a structural theory of dysfunction in online labor markets: the Two-Sided Trust Principle. Drawing on systems theory, platform economics, and signaling theory, it proposes that when two-sided platforms scale without enabling reciprocal feedback, market sorting and trust mechanisms collapse. Using digital hiring platforms as a case study, the model outlines how feedback asymmetry creates cascading distortions: resume inflation, employer ghosting, and the rise of unverifiable job posts. While employers retain signaling capacity (e.g., through selective hiring), job seekers operate in a low-trust, high-noise environment with no recourse or rating system. Platforms profit from engagement, not resolution, further degrading incentive alignment. This working paper is intended as a theoretical framework for future empirical study, simulation testing, and platform reform.

1. **Introduction**

Online labor markets have promised scale, access, and efficiency. Yet job seekers and employers alike report growing dysfunction: ghost listings, resume overload, employer silence, and job seeker burnout. While these symptoms are often discussed in moral or behavioral terms, this paper argues that their true source is structural. Digital hiring platforms operate as two-sided markets—but without enabling feedback and verification from both sides. This asymmetry creates recursive trust failure, degrading the very signal system hiring depends on. The Two-Sided Trust Principle proposes that mutual feedback is not optional; it is the structural glue required for equilibrium in online labor markets.

2. Theoretical Foundations

This principle draws from multiple theoretical traditions:

- Systems Theory: Feedback is central to system adaptation (Meadows, 2008). Suppressed or distorted feedback creates cascading breakdowns.
- Platform Economics: Platforms profit from engagement, but optimal market function requires verified exchange, not volume alone (Evans & Schmalensee, 2016).
- Signaling Theory: Labor markets depend on signals (Spence, 1973). Without reciprocal signals, matching degrades.

- Ashby’s Law of Requisite Variety: Systems must respond to complexity with internal complexity. Job seeker suppression violates this principle (Ashby, 1956).

3. Model: The Two-Sided Trust Failure Loop

The principle proposes that a digital labor market without reciprocal feedback devolves into dysfunction through the following structure:

Actors: Employers, Job Seekers, Platform Operators
Signals: Resumes (JS → E), Listings (E → JS), Engagement Metrics (JS & E → Platform)
Feedback Directionality: One-sided (E → JS), missing JS → E
Incentives: Platform profits from engagement; employers face no reputational cost; job seekers operate in high-noise, low-trust settings.

Recursive Mechanism:

1. Job seekers apply in volume → signals weaken
2. Employers ghost or list in bad faith → trust collapses
3. Platforms monetize activity, not outcome → dysfunction is rewarded
4. Sorting quality and match value degrade across system
5. Comparative Literature and Distinctions

4. Situating the Principle in Existing Economic Literature

This section situates the Two-Sided Trust Principle within the broader economic literature and highlights where it extends or departs from existing work.

- Autor, Levy, and Murnane (2003) documented how technological change reshaped job content and the task structure of labor. Their framework addresses automation and cognitive/non-cognitive task shifts, but it does not explore how digital platforms mediate employer-job seeker interactions. The Two-Sided Trust Principle extends this by examining platform dynamics directly.
- Rothstein (2021) and Kahn (2010) offer valuable empirical studies on how recessionary environments shape career trajectories. Their focus on macroeconomic shocks demonstrates labor market sensitivity to institutional signals. However, they stop short of modeling platforms as active signal distorters. Aurora's Principle provides a structural reason why post-recession labor markets continue to feel broken: trust channels were never repaired, and platforms institutionalized signal decay.
- Tadelis (2016) presents robust evidence that feedback systems improve efficiency and accountability in online platforms. He emphasizes how reputation mechanisms create incentives for quality and trust. However, he focuses primarily on e-commerce and peer-to-

peer markets. The Two-Sided Trust Principle applies these insights to labor—where feedback asymmetry is entrenched and unaddressed.

- Rochet and Tirole (2003) lay the groundwork for understanding pricing and efficiency in two-sided markets. They explain platform strategies under different competitive equilibria. However, their models typically assume rational actors and adequate information flow. Aurora's Principle highlights what happens when one side of the market (job seekers) is structurally muted—turning the feedback loop into a distortion engine.
- Cross-Sector Comparison: Unlike Uber, Airbnb, or Etsy—where mutual rating systems discipline bad behavior—job seekers have no structured method for flagging ghost jobs or rating employer responsiveness. This absence of reciprocal accountability makes hiring platforms an anomaly among modern digital marketplaces. Aurora's Principle formalizes this gap as a structural design flaw, not merely a missed feature.

Together, these distinctions demonstrate that while existing literature addresses labor market transformation, none sufficiently frame feedback asymmetry as a systemic failure mode. Aurora's Principle offers a cross-disciplinary synthesis that converts a scattered set of dysfunctions into a unified architectural diagnosis.

5. Implications and Extensions

- Platform Design: Mutual rating systems (e.g., verified job seeker reviews) could restore accountability and balance feedback asymmetry.
- Policy Considerations: Government agencies could enforce minimum transparency standards or fund public digital hiring infrastructures. An analogy could be drawn to the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) which formalized consumer voice in the financial markets.
- Cross-Sector Comparisons: Other platforms—such as Uber, Airbnb, and Amazon—demonstrate the viability of two-sided rating systems. Examining these models may provide pathways for adaptation.
- Platform Reform Models: Job seekers could be empowered to flag ghost listings or require closure data. A transparency index could score listings by response history, signal integrity, and closure outcomes.

6. Limitations and Future Work

This paper presents a structural theory without original data or modeling. It serves as a working model to guide future validation.

Limitations:

- No formal simulation or game theoretic modeling.
- No industry-specific segmentation.

- May not generalize to platforms with existing bilateral ratings.

Future Work:

- Develop trust index tools across hiring platforms.
- Compare outcomes pre/post two-sided feedback reforms.
- Conduct interviews with job seekers and hiring managers on perceptions of trust.
- Use agent-based modeling to test signal collapse under asymmetric feedback conditions.

7. Conclusion

The Two-Sided Trust Principle frames a core structural failure in online labor markets: the exclusion of job seekers from platform-level signaling and feedback. While digital platforms have transformed many sectors, labor markets remain uniquely feedback-starved.

A market that fails to allow both sides to signal, verify, and adapt cannot sustain trust or efficiency. Unlike two-sided platforms in commerce and transport that evolved bilateral reputation systems, hiring platforms have remained asymmetrical—treating job seekers as inputs, not as co-evolving actors.

Aurora’s framing proposes that platform integrity is not merely a matter of policy or culture but of systemic design. When signal asymmetry is

structurally embedded, even good actors cannot restore equilibrium without a new platform architecture.

Moving forward, this theory invites deeper modeling, testing, and eventual reform. Whether through regulated transparency or market innovation, the future of hiring depends on recognizing job seekers not as problems to be filtered—but as equal stakeholders in trust.

References:

Ashby, W. R. (1956). *An Introduction to Cybernetics*. Chapman & Hall.

Autor, D. H., Levy, F., & Murnane, R. J. (2003). The skill content of recent technological change: An empirical exploration. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 118(4), 1279–1333.

Bronstein, J. L. (1994). Our current understanding of mutualism. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 69(1), 31–51.

Connelly, B. L., Certo, S. T., Ireland, R. D., & Reutzel, C. R. (2011). Signaling theory: A review and assessment. *Journal of Management*, 37(1), 39–67.

Evans, D. S., & Schmalensee, R. (2016). *Matchmakers: The New Economics of Multisided Platforms*. Harvard Business Review Press.

Hall, S. (1973). Encoding and decoding in the television discourse. *Centre for Cultural Studies, University of Birmingham*.

Kahn, L. B. (2010). The long-term labor market consequences of graduating

from college in a bad economy. *Labour Economics*, 17(2), 303–316.

Meadows, D. H. (2008). *Thinking in Systems: A Primer*. Chelsea Green Publishing.

Rothstein, J. (2021). The lost generation? Labor market outcomes for post great recession entrants. *ILR Review*, 74(5), 1141–1169.

Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27(3), 379–423.

Shannon, C. E., & Weaver, W. (1949). *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*. University of Illinois Press.

Spence, M. (1973). Job market signaling. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 87(3), 355–374.

Tadelis, S. (2016). Reputation and feedback systems in online platform markets. *Annual Review of Economics*, 8, 321–340.

Wiener, N. (1948). *Cybernetics: Or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine*. MIT Press.

Rochet, J.-C., & Tirole, J. (2003). Platform competition in two-sided markets. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 1(4), 990–1029.